

ORIGINAL
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Docket No. 1891 17 June 2009

Docket No. 3158 6 July 2009

In Re:

Chapter 11 Case No.

GENERAL MOTORS CORP., et al.,

09-50026 (REG)

Debtors

(Jointly Administered)

RESPONDENT BOND HOLDER:

7.20% Due 15 Jan 2011 (\$ 20,000)

FRANCES H. CATERINA and All

Cusip/isin 370442BB0 Claim # 1

Others Similarly Situated, et al

7.70% Due 15 Apr 2016 (\$ 6,000)

Objector/s

Cusip/isio 370442AU9 Claim # 2

Ref. Claim # 14061 10/21/09

(Frances H. Caterina - Rebuttal 9/9/10)

2nd REPLY TO GM'S REPLY TO REBUTTAL TO DEBTORS MOTION TO DUPLICATIVE CLAIM

Frances H. Caterina, Bond Holder; and all others Similarly Situated, et al; do/does object and rebut Debtor's Objection to Individual Debt Claims thru 2nd Reply responding to Debtor's telephone Reply to Rebuttal as follows:

1) Prior US Sup Ct litigation does not make Claimant a serial litigant.

2) GM being agents Weil, Gotshal & Manges attorneys for the Debtor.

3) The Line: "Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, their can be no rule making or legislation which abrogate then." (emphasis added) cite: Ernesto A. Miranda v State of Arizona, 348 US 433; 86 Sup Ct Rpt 1602; n73 p 1608

4) GM's agents, via telephone, replied to Claimant's Rebuttal as follows:

1) 9/16/10 5:45 PM Agent R. Brooks: " He's/GM - They are not doing what you think call him back 9 AM - 9 PM".

2) 9/20/10 8:17 PM Agent P. Falabella ? "We don't understand Rebuttal please explain ?"

5) The Court is to consider Voiding Claims of Individual Bond Holders in favor of WTC Trustee's Claim whereas Trustee has no personal money in GM Bonds and the Individual Claimants have over \$ 27.2 Billion involved!

6) The Due Process of all individual Claimants must be protected as to hold the Constitutional Line established by Miranda is essential.

7) GM's Motion objecting to individual debt claims is over the line.

8) For the following reasons herein above stated the Debtor's are going over the Line not only in their proposed Motion Objecting to Individual Debt Claims; but also replying via telephone directly to Claimant's rebuttal, and the Court is requested to "Strike the Motion" as it clearly is abrogating the Constitutional Rights of all individual debt bondholder claimants.

Frances H. Caterina Bondholder Claimant requests the Court to protect the individual right to defend said claims in this Court. Respectfully cited.

Respondent Bond Holder Frances H. Caterina and All
Others Similarly Situated

Frances H. Caterina
Frances H. Caterina, et al

Dated: 21st Sept 2010

202 Kenyon Dr.

Peckville, PA. 18452

All Rights Reserved UCC 1-207 Explicit, In Pro Se
, without prejudice" phone (570) 489-8741

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	:	Docket No. 1891	17 June 2009
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	:	Docket No. 3158	6 July 2009
In Re:	:	Chapter 11 Case No.	
GENERAL MOTORS CORP., ET AL	:	09-50026 (REG)	
Debtors	:	(Jointly Administered)	
RESPONDENT BOND HOLDER:	:	7.20% Due 15 Jan 2011 (\$ 20,000)	
FRANCES H. CATERINA AND All	:	Cusip/oson 370442BB0	Claim # 1
Others Similarly Situated, et al	:	7.70% Due 15 Apr 2016 (\$ 6,000)	
Objector/s	:	Cusip/isio 370442AU9	Claim # 2
Ref. Claim # 14061 10/21/09	:	(Frances H. Caterina - Rebuttal 9/9/10)	

PROOF OF SERVICE 9/21/2010

I Frances H. Caterina, Objector/s, certify that I served thru
United States Mail, First Class postage prepaid the following:

2nd REPLY TO GM,S REPLY TO REBUTTAL TO DEBTORS MOTION TO DUPLICIATIVE CLAIM

to the following:

✓ Chambers of Honorable Robert E. Gerber
United States Benkrupctcy Court
One Bowling Green, Room 621
New York, New York 1004-1408

Kramer Levin Naftails & Frankel LLP
attorneys for the statutory committee
of unsecured creditors
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
(Attn: Jennifer Sharret, Esq.)

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
attorneys for the Debtors
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
(Attn: Joseph H. Smolinshy, Esq)

Mailed on 21 September 2010

SO CERTIFIED:

Frances H. Caterina
Frances H. Caterina, Claimant Bond Holder

Thursday 9-16-10 5:45 PM

attorney Russell Brooks
at Weil Gotshal

212-310-8293

He's for GM

They are not doing
what you think.

Call 9 AM to 9 PM



2230 East Imperial Hwy.
El Segundo, CA 90245

Are you coming to hearing
next over the line
Criminal Felon

Don't understand
improper to call criminal

1 (212) 310-8050 2019 8:02 PM

*****AUTO**5-DIGIT 18452

065-1905003

Emmett Caterina

419 Simpson St

Peckville, PA 18452-2237



195/9649/6770

GM



PRESORTED
STANDARD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
PERMIT NO.
1721

Imprpry can

Publ Fala bona

ATTN

Weil Gotshal

GM

8:18

Your coming a crime. This may get better! At time Gies on
New Attorney

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT : Docket No. 1891 17 June 2009
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK : Docket No. 3158 6 July 2009
In Re: : Chapter 11 Case No.
GENERAL MOTORS CORP., et al., : 09-50026 (REG)
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
RESPONDENT BOND HOLDER: : 7.20% Due 15 Jan 2011 (\$ 20,000)
FRANCES H. CATERINA and All : Cusip/Isin 370442BB0 Claim # 1
Others Similarly Situated, et al : 7.70% Due 15 Apr 2016 (\$ 6,000)
Objector/s : Cusip/Isin 370442AU9 Claim # 2
Ref. Claim # 14061 10/21/09 : (Frances H. Caterina)

REBUTTAL TO DEBTORS OBJECTION TO DUPLICATE CLAIM

Frances H. Caterina, Bond Holder; and all others Similarly Situated, et al; do/does object, oppose and rebut Debtors Objection to Individual Debt Claims as follows:

1) Claim is not duplicative, but rather is one claim argued by the Claimant under Due Process (a Constitutional Right/ Standing), and by WTC a Trustee under separate issue.

2) WTC Trustee can not supersede Claimants asserted Due Process nor negate all filings as moot and the Court must consider both arguments as valid and Debtors can not negate one or the other for the convenience of litigation as this would in effect obstruct the assertion of Claimants Constitutional Rights violative of 18 USC 241 and 18 USC 242.

3) The Court is compelled to consider both the Claimants filings and WTC's Filings and only to disallow one or the other is unconstitutional and to expunge either is to deny 'Due Process' and criminal under 18 USC Sections 241 and 242.

4) Debtors through their Attorneys are not only stealing Claimants Money thru Bonds a so-called Secured investment; but are now also stealing their Constitutional Rights thru the guise of Bankruptcy!

5) For the following reasons stated hereinabove the Debtors Motion claiming "Claim is duplicative . . ." ought to be quashed and voided

Frances H. Caterina Bondholder and Claimant Rebutts Debtors objection to duplicative of claim as it must be allowed. Respectfully submitted.
Respondent Bond Holder Frances H. Caterina and All
Others Similarly Situated

Frances H. Caterina
Frances H. Caterina, et al

Dated 6th Sept 2010
202 Kenyon Dr.
Peckville, PA 18452

All Rights Reserved UCC 1-207 Explicit.,
In Pro Se, without prejudice"
phone (570) 489-8741

(1)